

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 42 through 50 are pending, with Claims 42, 45, and 48 being independent.

Claims 1 through 41 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 42 through 50 have been added. The specification has been amended to attend to a typographical matter.

### REQUEST RE PRIORITY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Applicants note that the Official Action does not have Box 12(a) “All” checked regarding the certified copies of the priority documents, and respectfully ask that the same be checked in the next Official Action.

### FURTHER REMARKS

Claims 4, 12, 19, 22, and 38, and Claims 28, 29, 30, 39, 40, and 41 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, as being indefinite on the grounds that insufficient antecedent basis for “predetermined guide information”. All rejections are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been obviated by the presentation of new claims that omit the objected-to expression.

Claims 1 through 41 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103 over US 6,337,719 B1 (Cuccia), US 2001/0010097 A1 (Lee), US 2001/0035917 A1 (Satake, et al.), and US 6,008,802 (Iki, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 42 recites, *inter alia*, controlling such that, when the streaming content registered in advance is selected by the operation unit, the streaming content stored in the storage is read out and displayed on a display screen, and, when other streaming content is selected by

the operation unit, the streaming content received by the receiving unit is displayed on the display screen (the streaming content being received by access through the internet to a URL).

Claim 45 recites, *inter alia*, controlling such that, when the streaming content matching with the user preference is selected by the operation unit, the streaming content stored in the storage is read out and displayed on a display screen, and, when other streaming content is selected by the operation unit, the streaming content received by the receiving unit is displayed on the display screen (the streaming content being received by access through the internet to a URL).

Claim 48 recites, *inter alia*, controlling to store in a storage the streaming content received by the receiving unit, responsive to receiving, by the operation unit, of the operation of the monitoring stop of the streaming content, and controlling so as to read out and display on a screen the streaming content stored in the storage, responsive to receiving, by the operation unit, of the operation of the monitoring start of the streaming content (the streaming content being received by access through the internet to a URL).

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Cuccia, Lee, Satake, et al., and Iki, et al., even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 42, 45, and 48.

Applicants respectfully submit that Cuccia discloses, e.g., performing scanning when the receiver is not in use, e.g., during stand-by mode; Lee discloses, e.g., an ON-timer function; Satake, et al. is relied upon in the Official Action for mentioning a URL (e.g., [0035]); and Iki, et al. discloses, e.g., matching to target data; however, Applicants respectfully submit that neither

the foregoing nor the remainder of these documents provides either a description or a suggestion of at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 42, 45, and 48.

Applicants further respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/  
Daniel S. Glueck  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

DSG:jr

FCHS\_WS 2170328v1